Pattern Decomposition and Basis Phase Recognition of Inorganic Materials

Graham Antoszewski ganto@math.umd.edu

Advisor: Dr. Hector Corrada-Bravo Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology University of Maryland, Department of Computer Science hcorrada@umiacs.umd.edu

May 11, 2017

Overview of Pattern Decomposition and Phase Recognition

- Mixtures of 3 metals ternary metal alloy
 - Non-uniform chemical composition
 - Unique structure \rightarrow Unique chemical properties
- Pattern Decomposition
 - N data points
 - Expressed as linear combination k basis vectors (phases)
 - Phases tell us chemical properties





X-ray Diffraction Patterns to Basis Phase Diagrams

- Input data X-ray light diffracted back at certain angles
 - Based on structure of material (basis phases)
- Phase diagrams
 - Same colors \rightarrow areas of uniform composition \rightarrow same basis phases
- Physical constraints on our solution
 - Gibbs Phase Rule
 - Connectivity of clusters
 - Peakshifting (error due to alloying process)



Overall Project Goal

- Develop algorithm to:
 - Obey physical constraints
 - Output clusters, phase diagrams
 - Identify basis phases
- Extend GRENDEL
 - (<u>Graph-based</u> <u>End</u>member <u>E</u>xtraction and <u>Labeling</u>)
 - Develop methods/algorithms to make algorithm results more physically realistic
 - Constraint programming



Original GRENDEL Algorithm

- Step 1: Spectral Clustering
 - ▶ Diffraction "pattern" → diffraction "spectrum"
 - X input sample data
 - Similarity metric to group data points
 - Cosine Distance, 1 cos(X_i, X_j)
 - For two sample point diffraction patterns, X_i , X_j : $\cos(X_i, X_j) = \frac{X_i \bullet X_j}{||X_i||_{L_2}||X_j||_{L_2}}$
- Output: initial clustering U
 - ► $U_{i,k} = 1 \rightarrow \text{sample point } i \text{ belongs to cluster } k$



Original GRENDEL Algorithm

- Step 2: Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (NMF)
 - ► X is approximately P*E
 - Linear combination of basis phases
 - Find P, E by minimizing objective function
 - Ex: Least Squares Error
 - ► $J_{LS}(X, P, E) = \frac{1}{2} ||X PE||_{L_2}^2$
 - Set derivative of J w.r.t. P, E equal to zero to create update rules for each matrix
 - Done within each cluster



Original GRENDEL Algorithm

- Step 3: Graph Cut
 - Tries to minimize "cost" function over the entire material to update U
- Cost = Data Cost + Smoothness Cost
- Given data point *j* in cluster *i*:
 - ► Data Cost: $\frac{3}{4}\delta_{\cos}(X_j, \bar{X}_i) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{||X_j p_{ij}E_i||_{L_2}}{\sum_i ||X_j p_{ij}E_i||_{L_2}}$
 - Smoothness Cost: 0 if neighboring data points in same cluster, 1 otherwise
 - Balances similarity metrics (Data Cost) with smoothness/connectivity of clusters (Smoothness Cost)
- Convergence check → end program if change between iterations of P, E, U is below threshold



Summary of Project

- Written in MATLAB 2017a
- Data sets:
 - Synthetic diffraction data ((Fe-Al-Li) O_x from Gregoire et al.)
 - Synthetic spectral data from ShiftNMF (Morup M. and Madsen K. H.)
 - Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (Fe-Ga-Pd, from Kusne et al.)
- Last Semester: Cannot Link (connectivity)
- This Semester (previous): ShiftNMF (peakshifting)
- Final Step: Implementing ShiftNMF within existing GRENDEL code

Cannot Link (Review)

- Used cosine distance as *dissimilarity* metric, creates array of the *p*% most dissimilar pairs of data points (CL)
- Algorithm Overview: After Graph Cut step, makes sure CL pairs are not put in same cluster



ShiftNMF (Review)

- Algorithm Overview: alter NMF to detect peakshifting within basis phases
- New Objective function: $J_{LS}(X, P, E, T) = \frac{1}{2}||X PE||_{L_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2M}||X_f (P_f \bullet \exp(i\omega T))E_f||_{L_2}^2$

uißiu G

original

original

- T matrix of 'peakshifting' delays/values, applied to P, E in Fourier Space
- P, E update rules set derivative of J w.r.t. P, E equal to zero, respectively
 - Utilizes ratio of negative/positive parts of each gradient
- T update Newton-Raphson method
- Cross-correlation step to escape local minima of J











Implementing ShiftNMF within GRENDEL

Two options:

- 1. Adding in ShiftNMF outside of clustering (spectral, Graph Cut)
- 2. Using ShiftNMF with clustering steps



Experimental Statistics (Random Initial Conditions)

$$R^{2} = \frac{\text{SST} - \text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}; \quad \text{SST} = ||X||_{L_{2}}; \quad \text{SSE} = \frac{1}{2M} ||X_{f} - (P_{f} \bullet \exp{(i\omega T)})E_{f}||_{L_{2}}^{2}$$

Implementation	Input Data	Max R ²	Mean R ²	T-statistic (w.r.t. GRENDEL stats)	P-value	
Original CDENDEL	Original	0.9512	0.9493	NI / A		
Unginal GRENDEL	Zero-padded	0.9508	0.9481	N/A	N/A	
ShiftNMF without	Original	0.9764	0.9607	6.308	3.91E-7	
clustering	Zero-padded	0.9765	0.9583	5.537	2.27E-6	
ShiftNMF with	Original	0.9893	0.9872	77.236	3.71E-45	
clustering	Zero-padded	0.9894	0.9873	53.539	4.03E-35	

Experimental Statistics (nnmf() Initial Conditions)

Implementation	Max R ²	Mean R ²	T-statistic (w.r.t. GRENDEL stats)	P-value
Original GRENDEL	0.9545	0.9450	N/A	N/A
ShiftNMF without clustering	0.9771	0.9721	30.385	9.14E-28
ShiftNMF with clustering	0.9888	0.9867	97.088	6.70E-66

- ▶ (Fe-Al-Li)O_x synthetic data
- nnmf() MATLAB nonnegative matrix factorization function
- Both ShiftNMF strategies yield better results

Experimental Statistics - Fe-Ga-Pd data set

Implementation	Max R ²	Mean R ²	T-statistic (w.r.t. GRENDEL stats)	P-value
Original GRENDEL	0.8871	0.8840	N/A	N/A
ShiftNMF without clustering	0.9155	0.9138	23.491	9.45E-11
ShiftNMF with clustering	0.9065	0.9055	17.450	6.89E-09

- Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (real sample, true values unknown)
- Zero-padded the input data X
- More data points \rightarrow Makes clustering attempts more inaccurate!
- What could be the issue?

Adding ShiftNMF in with Clustering Creates Error

- Clustering is performed without peakshifting delays T
- Result: Anywhere from 15-18 out of 21 distinct phases (not 6 as it should be)

~	Implementing ShiftNMF in Loops (including clustering), R ² = 0.9873											Original GRENDEL Code, R ² = 0.9489									
1	<u>_</u>	1	1 sh	A	-A.M.	-		- 1			- 2			A		-					_
0	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
2	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	
0	5		s n A	Δm	mahan		- L-			I			Sol	~	~~~					I	
	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
2	2	I	Δ.	٨	I	I	I	I	I	I	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Ó	; L	1	A A	thia	And A	2		L .			6		MAN	ALA	na a					1	
	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
1 t	2 i -	1	٨	•		1	1	1	I	I	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Su 0	5	1	man	1ba	- AA			- la					Mal	AL	m					1	
nte	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0 ₀	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
- 2	í –	I	A A	٨	Δ.	I	I	I	I	I	2	1	· · ·	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
0	5		ANIA	AL A	ad man			0					And	<u> </u>	m Ba	-		<u>_ h</u> _		1	
~	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
2	í F	I	ΛΛ	•	٨	I	1		I	I	2	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
0) [Ann			<u></u>	- ha-				1		A	Ala	AM					1	
~	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
1	í –	I	. /h	I	A	I	I	I	I	I	2		•^		^	1	1	1			
0) [100	12 mm	<u>~~^\</u>	<u>/\</u> \						1		AAA	1	march		- 4		-		
	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000 0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
Q-spacing									/			Q-spacin	g								

5

Comparing Basis Phases of Adding in ShiftNMF without Cluster to True Values

See agreement with certain basis phases, even without adhering to Gibbs Phase Rule in ShiftNMF

Have the same about of basis phases (6) we expect



16

New Algorithm - ShiftGRENDEL

Attempt to formulate an algorithm that ensures correct number of basis phases, incorporates peakshifting delays T into clustering:



Testing ShiftGRENDEL

- Tested on (Fe-Al-Li)O_x synthetic data
- Original GRENDEL:
 - ► Mean $R^2 \rightarrow 0.9484$
 - ► Max $R^2 \rightarrow 0.508$
- ShiftGRENDEL
 - $\blacktriangleright \text{ Mean } \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow 0.9752$
 - ► Max $R^2 \rightarrow 0.9609$
 - ► T-statistic \rightarrow 6.779
 - ▶ P-value \rightarrow 4.90E-8

Timing Data, Speeding Up ShiftGRENDEL

- Average run-times (500 iterations)
 - Original GRENDEL 30.1 (seconds)
 - ShiftNMF without clustering 174.8
 - ShiftNMF with clustering 147.7
 - ShiftGRENDEL **1281.3**
- How to speed up:
 - Running ShiftNMF in the separate clusters parallelizable
 - Figure: Solution reached in < 500 iterations, do not need to run algorithm that long



Recap of AMSC 663/664 Work

- Cannot Link algorithm \rightarrow increased connectivity of clusters
 - "Expert knowledge" constraint based on observation, not law of physics
- ► ShiftNMF algorithm → takes peakshifting into account to correctly identify basis phases
 - Physical constraint based on fundamental concept of physics/chemistry
- ShiftGRENDEL algorithm → alter GRENDEL program by incorporating Cannot Link and ShiftNMF
 - Provides framework to create first physically realistic basis phase recognition of inorganic materials, except ...

Unresolved Issues - Summer Work

- Gibbs Phase Rule yet to be incorporated properly
 - LASSO method to implement constraint
- Develop mechanism to stop algorithm when algorithm is seen reaching local minimum
 - Attempt to save time, restart when undesirable result is detected early
- ► If both of these steps are successfully executed → First ever unsupervised method to identify clustering and basis phases of inorganic materials

Timeline/Milestones (OLD)

- Fully understand, replicate previous code/results mid/late October
- Phase 1 Constraint Programming
 - > Add connectivity constraints, expert prior knowledge for given samples November
 - Add constraints for peak shifting January
 - Potential addition of other physical laws, Mixed Integer Programming February
- Phase 2 Active Learning (Time permits)
 - Have algorithm to predict next best point to sample March
 - Optimize the sampling algorithm for one material mid April
 - Optimize algorithm for all material data given late April

Timeline/Milestones (Final Revision)

- Fully understand, replicate previous code/results mid/late October
- Stage 1 Connectivity Constraint
 - Write Cannot Link algorithm November
 - Validate and optimize parameters December
- Stage 2 Peakshifting Constraint
 - Locate and understand algorithm, ShiftNMF January
 - Write ShiftNMF algorithm February
 - Validation March
- Stage 3 Optimization of GRENDEL
 - Develop method to integrate ShiftNMF with Graph Cut April
 - Collect final results, decrease run time of algorithm May

Deliverables

Codes:

- Original GRENDEL (with Cannot Link included)
- ShiftNMF algorithm (with demo to test ShiftNMF on its own)
- Algorithm adding ShiftNMF into GRENDEL without clusters
- Algorithm adding ShiftNMF with clustering
- ShiftGRENDEL
- All data sets used in testing
- Sample phase diagrams, basis phase spectral plots seen in reports

Bibliography

- LeBras R., Damoulas T., Gregoire J.M., Sabharwal A., Gomes C.P., and van Dover R.B., 2011. Constraint reasoning and kernel clustering for pattern decomposition with scaling. AAAI. CP'11: pp.508-522.
- Kusne A.G., Keller D., Anderson A., Zaban A., and Takeuchi I., 2015. High-throughput determination of structural phase diagram and constituent phases using GRENDEL. Nanotechnology. 26(44): pp. 444002.
- Ermon S., LeBras R., Suram S., Gregoire J.M., Gomes C.P., Selman B., and van Dover R.B., 2015. Pattern decomposition with complex combinatorial constraints: application to materials discovery. AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Available at http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI15/paper/view/10020
- Hastie T., Tibshirani R., and Friedman J., 2013. The Elements of Statistical Learning Data Mining, Interference, and Prediction. ed. 2 (Berlin: Springer).
- Settles B., 2012. Active Learning. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning #18 (Morgan & Claypool).
- Kan D., Suchoski R. Fujino S., Takeuchi I., 2009. Combinatorial investigation of structural and ferroelectric properties of A- and B- site codoped BiFeO3 thin films, Integrated Ferroelectrics. 111: pp. 116-124.
- Takeuchi I., 2016. Data Driven Approaches to Combinatorial Materials Science. Materials Research Society Spring Meeting (presentation).
- Zare A., Gader P., Bchir O., and Frigui H., Piecewise Convex Multiple-Model Endemember Detection and Spectral Unmixing, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 51 (2013), no. 5: 2853-2862.
- Boykov Y. and Kologorov V., An Experimental Comparison of Min-Cut/Max-Flow Algorithms for Energy Minimization in Vision, IEEE Transactions on PAMI, 26 (2004), no. 9: 1124-1137.
- Morup M., Madsen K. H., and Hansen L. K., Shifted Non-negative Matrix Factorization, IEEE International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing, (2007): pp. 139-144.
- Xue Y., Bai J., Le Bras R., Rappazzo B., Bernstein R., Bjork J., Longpre L., Suram S., van Dover R., Gregoire J., and Gomes C., Phase-Mapper: An AI Platform to Accelerate High Throughput Material Discovery, CoRR, 1610 (2016).
- Suram S., Xue Y., Bai J., Le Bras R., Rappazzo B., Bernstein R., Bjorck J., Zhou L., van Dover R., Gomes C., and Gregoire J., Automated Phase Mapping with AgileFD and its Application to Light Absorber Discovery in the V-Mn-Nb Oxide System, arXiv:1610.02005 (2016).
- Information about White House Genome Initiative courtesy of https://www.whitehouse.gov/mgi

Appendix: Cannot Link Constraint Algorithm

Analysis of algorithm - NMF updates of **E** and **P** are what violate cluster connectivity requirement

Algorithm:

Compute cosine distance between all pairs Assign top p% dissimilar pairs to 'Cannot Link' array After initial Graph Cut:

Remove pairs in CL which are initially clustered together

After all subsequent Graph Cut iterations:

Loop through all CL pairs:

If pair in same cluster

If 1st point changed cluster

Revert cluster assignment of 1st point to old cluster

Else

Revert 2nd point's cluster assignment

end

end

Appendix B: ShiftNMF - P, E update rules

P update rule

 $E_{f,T} = E_f \circ \exp(i\omega T)$ $\operatorname{grad}_P = \frac{-1}{M} (X_f - P_{f,T} E_f) E_{f,T}^H$ $\operatorname{grad}_P^- = \frac{1}{M} X_f E_{f,T}^H$ $\operatorname{grad}_P^+ = \frac{1}{M} P_f E_{f,T} E_{f,T}^H$ $G^+ = \operatorname{ifft}(\operatorname{grad}_P^+), \quad G^- = \operatorname{ifft}(\operatorname{grad}_P^-)$ $P = P \circ \left(\frac{G^-}{G^+}\right)^{\alpha}$

Guaranteed convergence for $\alpha = 1$

E update rule

$$P_{f,T} = P_f \circ \exp(i\omega T)$$

$$\operatorname{grad}_E = \frac{-1}{M} P_{f,T}^H (E_f - P_{f,T} E_f)$$

$$\operatorname{grad}_E^- = \frac{1}{M} P_{f,T}^H P_{f,T} E_f$$

$$\operatorname{grad}_E^+ = \frac{1}{M} P_{f,T} X_f$$

$$G^- = \operatorname{ifft}(\operatorname{grad}_E^-), \quad G^+ = \operatorname{ifft}(\operatorname{grad}_E^+)$$

$$E = E \circ \left(\frac{G^-}{G^+}\right)^{\alpha}$$

If $J_{new} \ge J_{old}$, then reduce α until $J_{new} < J_{old}$

Appendix C: ShiftNMF - T update rule

- Utilizes Newton-Raphson method:
 - ► **T** = **T** η**B**⁻¹**g**

b g

- η step size parameter
- ▶ **B** Hessian $P_{f,T} = P_f \circ \exp{(i\omega T)}$

- gradient
$$Q_f = P_{f,T}E_f$$

 $Y_f = X_f - Q_f$
 $g = \frac{-1}{M} \sum_{\omega} 2\omega \Im[Q_f Y_f^*]$
 $B = \begin{cases} \frac{-2}{M} \sum_{\omega} \omega^2 \Re[Q_f Q_f^*], & \text{for diagonal entries} \\ \frac{-2}{M} \sum_{\omega} \omega^2 \Re[Q_f (Q_f^* + Y_f^*)], & \text{else} \end{cases}$
 $T = T - \eta B^{-1}g$
If $J_{new} \ge J_{old}$, then reduce η until $J_{new} < J_{old}$

Appendix D: ShiftNMF - Cross-Correlation Step

- > Due to complexity of the objective function, local minima are abundant
- ▶ To avoid these, every 20 iterations we run a 'cross-correlation step'
- > Done in random permutation order to shake up our T matrix

Randomly select d' phase, n' data point Let $X_{n',f} = \text{fft}(X)$ at n', $P_{n',f} = \text{fft}(P)$ at n' Let $E_{d',f} = \text{fft}(E)$ at d' $R_{n',f} = X_{n',f} - \sum_{d \neq d'} P_{n',f} E_{d,f}$ $C_{n',f} = R_{n',f}^* E_{d',f}$ $t = \arg \max C_{n',f}$ $T_{n',d'} = t - (M + 1)$