

Electromagnetic & Sensor Systems Department



The Relationship Between Atmospheric Boundary Layer Structure and Refractivity

Robert E. Marshall, PhD Atmospheric Scientist / RF Engineer NSWCDD Q32 10 March 2011

Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

- Dr. Robert E. Marshall, Q32
 - Radio Frequency Engineer
 - Meteorologist

Our Team

- Victor Wiss, Q32
 - Radio Frequency Engineer
 - Meteorological Measurements Engineer
- Katherine Horgan, Q32
 - Mesoscale and Numerical Weather Prediction Meteorologist
 - Meteorological Instrumentation Technician
- Isha Renta, Q32
 - Mesoscale and Numerical Weather Prediction Meteorologist
 - Radio Frequency Propagation Analyst
- William Thornton, Q32
 - Computer Programmer
 - Radio Frequency Propagation Analyst

Our R&D Structure





Refraction

- Atmospheric refraction bends radio frequency energy away from intended destinations.
- The direction of refraction is dependent on the vertical thermodynamic structure of the atmospheric boundary layer.
 - surface layers
 - mixing layers
 - internal boundary layers
 - entrainment layers
- Within 100km of the coast, mesoscale circulations can produce significant refraction
- Refraction can introduce 10³ deficits on applicable radio frequency engineering solutions

Snells Law

Dutch scientist Willebrørd Snell (1591–1626), who first stated the law in a manuscript in 1621. In French, however, the same law is often called "la loi de Descartes" because it was René Descartes (1596– 1650) who first put the law into widespread circulation in his Discourse on Method, published in 1637.

$$\frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_2} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$$

 $n \equiv \text{ index of refraction}$





Index of Refraction

 $n \equiv \text{index of refraction} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{\mu}_r}$ $\mathcal{E}_r \equiv$ relative permittivity $\mu_{I} \equiv$ relative permeability $n = \frac{c}{c}$ $c \equiv$ speed of light in a vacumm $v \equiv$ phase speed in the medium



Refractivity

 $n \approx 1.000300$ in the atmosphere $N \equiv \text{refractivity}$ $N = (n-1)10^{6}$ $N = \left(\frac{77.6}{T}\right) \left(P + \frac{4810e}{T}\right)$ $p \equiv \text{atmospheric pressure (mb)}$ $T \equiv \text{atmospheric temperature}(K)$ $e \equiv \text{vapor pressure (mb)}$



Modified Refractivity

- $M \equiv \text{modified refractivity}$
- N + an earth curvature term

$$M = N + \frac{z}{R_e} 10^6$$

 $z \equiv$ height above the surface $R_e \equiv$ radius of the earth M = N + 0.157z

The vertical gradient of modified refractivity defines the radio frequency refraction regime.

Behavior (dM/dz) m ⁻¹	Range 1	Range 2
Standard	= 0.118	
Ducting	< 0.0	
Super- refractive	0.0	0.079
Normal	0.079	0.157
Sub-refractive		> 0.157



Standard: Short lived in the littorals. Radar energy gently curves away from earth curvature.

Super-refraction: Radar energy follows the curvature of the earth. Extended radar horizon and folded land clutter.

Trapping: Radar energy trapped in a shallow duct formed by the sea surface and a positive vertical gradient of refractivity above the surface. Extended and separated areas of sea clutter.

Sub-refraction: Radar energy abruptly curves away from earth curvature. Ameliorating engineering costs are very high.



Introduce the Conserved Variables

$$M = \left(\frac{77.6}{T}\right) \left(P + \frac{4810e}{T}\right) + 0.157z$$

$$w = 0.622 \left(\frac{e}{p-e}\right) \approx 0.622 \left(\frac{e}{p}\right) \qquad \theta = T \left(\frac{1000}{p}\right)^{0.286}$$

$$M = \left(\frac{77.6}{\theta \left[\frac{p}{1000}\right]^{0.286}}\right) \left(P + \frac{4810 \frac{wP}{0.622}}{\theta \left[\frac{p}{1000}\right]^{0.286}}\right) + 0.157z$$

 $w \equiv$ water vapor mixing ratio (kg kg⁻¹)

$$\theta \equiv \text{potential temperature}(K)$$





Well Mixed Layer

$$\frac{d\theta}{dz} = \frac{dw}{dz} = 0$$

$$\frac{dM}{dz} = \frac{dp}{dz} \left(\frac{1.336X10^7 w}{\theta^2 p^{0.572}} + \frac{3.995X10^2}{\theta p^{0.286}} \right) + 0.157$$



Well Mixed Laver



1

$$\frac{dM}{dz} \approx 0.128$$

$$+ \frac{dW}{dz} \left(\frac{5.97 \times 10^5 p}{T^2} \right)$$

$$-\frac{d\theta}{dz}\left(\frac{p^{1.286}}{T^3}\left[2.57X10^5w+10.76T\right]\right)$$











Stable Internal Boundary Layers (SIBL)

Offshore Flow of Warm and Drier Air over a Colder Sea Surface





SIBLs eventually advect into well mixed layers a distance (d) Offshore.



Evolution of stable internal boundary layers over a cold sea Smedman, Bergstrom, Grisogono, Journal of Geophysical Research, January, 1997

$$d \approx \frac{5625V}{f} \left(\frac{\Delta \theta}{\theta_r}\right)^2$$

 $d \equiv \text{offshore distance to mixed layer}$ $V \equiv \text{velocity}$

 $f \equiv \text{Coriolis parameter} \approx 10^{-4}$

 $\Delta \theta \equiv$ potential temperature difference across SIBL

 $\theta_r \equiv \text{surface potential temperature}$



On the formation of a stably stratified internal boundary layer by advection of warm air over a cooler sea

Mulhearn, Boundary Layer, Meteorology, 1981





On the formation of a stably stratified internal boundary layer by advection of warm air over a cooler sea

Mulhearn, Boundary Layer, Meteorology, 1981



SIBL Height is Duct Height



Stable Internal Boundary Layers



$$\frac{dM}{dz} \approx 0.128 - C_1 \frac{d\theta}{dz} + C_2 \frac{dw}{dz}$$



1100UTC on 14 May, 2009



- COAMPS[®] profiles every 100km from A to B
- dq/dz > 0, warmer air advecting up and over colder air at the sea surface
- dw/dz < 0, drier air advecting up and over saturated air at the sea surface
- dM/dz < 0, advection ducts, bi-linear ducts, or surface ducts

Advection ducts can extend hundreds of km offshore

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DAHLGREN DIVISION

Entrainment Layers



1200

1100

1000

900

800

700 600

500

400

300

200

100 0

0.000

Sea Breeze Circulations

Height (m, ASL)



R. E. Marshall T41 NSWCDL

DAHLGREN

24

- Well mixed layer up to 400m, ASL
- 50m deep entrainment layer

0.005

Galary dq/dz > 0 in the stable entrainment layer

0.010

Water Vapor Mixing Ratio (kg kg⁻¹)

- Dry tongue above the entrainment layer
- dw/dz < 0 enhanced by dry tongue</p>
- odM/dz < 0 in the entrainment layer</p>
- General Sub-refractive layer above entrainment layer

Data 2150UTC 28.37N 116.32W

29 June 2005 Baja

0.015

0.020

 Entrainment layers are breeding grounds for radio frequency ducts

Sea Breeze Circulations





- General Ge
- ↔ Well mixed layer up to 80m, ASL
- ✤ 80m deep entrainment layer
- dq/dz > 0 in the stable entrainment layer
- Dry tongue above the entrainment layer
- General dw/dz < 0 enhanced by dry tongue</p>
- Ger dM/dz < 0 in the entrainment layer</p>
- General Sub-refraction above the entrainment layer

DAHLGREN

Surface Layer





Surface Layer Model (Evaporation Duct Model)

- atmospheric surface layer turbulence model for a thermally stratified layer

- based on Monin-Obukhov similarity theory

- assumes horizontal homogeneity of thermodynamic and wind variables

- predicts the vertical profiles of wind speed, pressure, temperature, moisture and modified refractivity from the sea surface to the top of the atmospheric surface layer

DAHLGREN

OEPARTMET

Engineering Significance of Refractivity



Two way propagation factor in the **Radar equation**



Propagation factor due to non standard refraction

•0dB in free space

•F² potentially greater than +/- 30dB in real near surface atmospheres

DAHLGREN

Engineering Significance of Refractivity



DAHLGREN

Engineering Significance of Refractivity





Notional S-band radar detection areas in white of a notional target at 100m ASL. The image on the left is an AREPS model in a standard atmosphere. The image on the right is a COAMPS[®]/AREPS model for 1100UTC on 14 May 2009

Engineering Significance of Refractivity

S band notional radar in the Persian Gulf 1100UTC, 14 May 2009 (validated refractivity field)



Distance From Radar (km

 Energy escapes the duct as critical angle (a_c) decreases with range

 Critical angle (a_c) increases with duct strength (DM)



Engineering Significance of Sub-refraction

COAMPS

1000



In Chesapeake Bay

PARTME

900 Wallops Sounding Standard Propagation 800 AGL 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 325 375 400 425 Modified Refractivity Wallops Synoptic Sounding Comparison



Sub-refraction creates expensive engineering demands

Hampton Newport News

Norfol

Richmond

Colonial Heights

Isle Of Wight

natan 🛶 🚽

vrencevi

Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division



Summary

- Generativity in the PBL can significantly influence radio frequency system performance.
- ✤ Refractivity is directly related to PBL thermodynamic structure.
- Mesoscale NWP has become a powerful tool for understanding the four dimensional engineering demands placed on radio frequency systems at specific locations.
- The potential exists for a 0 to 72 hour globally locatable radio frequency system performance tool.